



UNCLASSIFIED



INTERNAL



CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~

Approved For Release 2001/08/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000700150053-5

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

r/OP

EXTENSION

3383

NO.

DATE

24 September 1969

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

25X1A

25X1A6a

25X1A6a

25X1A6a

Approved For Release 2001/08/02 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000700150053-5

Joe:

Per your telecon request, here are the papers relating to the question on the applicability of the new constructive cost provisions in [redacted] relating to travel and transportation expenses payable to retirees abroad.

In particular, see para. 5 of the proposed outgoing dispatch which would authorize shipment of goods to [redacted] including a split shipment (goods in the US) as long as the total cost does not exceed cost of one lot shipment from [redacted]

As you are aware, the new provision (Hqs version signed but [redacted] re constructive costs, for retirees abroad applicable to those electing not to retiree at a point in the US, possessions or Puerto Rico will be in effect prior to Subject's travel.

WH has been advised of this new provision but the question remains whether (a) Subject can be authorized under the new regulatory provision a split shipment of goods constructively from [redacted] to his Permanent Place of Residence (not yet established) as long as the total cost does not exceed the cost of one lot shipment from [redacted] to his Permanent Place of Residence or (b) he can only be authorized constructive costs from [redacted]

(over)

~~SECRET~~

CONFIDENTIAL



INTERNAL USE ONLY



UNCLASSIFIED

25X1A6a

to his Permanent Place of Residence of goods at [REDACTED] and constructive costs of goods at origin point(s) in the US to his Permanent Place of Residence.

25X1A6a

Specifically, under (b) above, the actual costs incurred in moving goods to Mexico from a point or points in the US in excess of the constructive costs of moving goods to his Permanent Place of Residence could not be authorized even though the actual costs in moving goods from [REDACTED] were less than could be permitted under a literal construction of the new regulatory standard:

"An employee who elects to reside elsewhere will be allowed expenses incurred not to exceed the constructive cost of direct travel and transportation from the last post of assignment to his Permanent Place of Residence as defined in [REDACTED] 25X1A

It is my understanding that both the C/CPB/OP and C/CTB/OF believe the split shipment concept can be applied. Accordingly, if you feel alternative (b) is correct, you may wish to discuss the matter with C/CTB.

Since the dispatch was forwarded to me with a priority tag, please note your views at the earliest opportunity and return. (Please call my office x3383 for a pick up.)